UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,303	02/28/2002	David McMorrow	S63.2-9719	2109
VIDAS, ARRETT & STEINKRAUS, P.A. SUITE 400, 6640 SHADY OAK ROAD			EXAMINER	
			SEVERSON, RYAN J	
EDEN PRAIRIE, MN 55344			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/087,303	MCMORROW ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ryan Severson	3731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ma	arch 2008.				
	action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-25,29,30 and 39-41</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-25,29,30 and 39-41</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 June 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:					
1	,				

Application/Control Number: 10/087,303 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see the appeal brief, filed 21 March 2008, with respect to the rejection of claims 21-25, 29, 30, and 39-41 under 35 U.S.C. 102 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn.

However, upon further consideration, a new ground of rejection is made in view of Weber et al. and Abele et al. as set forth below.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. The indicated allowability of claim 41 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered references to Weber et al. and Abele et al. Rejections based on the newly cited references follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,303 Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

4. Claims 21-25, 29, 30, and 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weber et al. (5,759,172) in view of Abele et al. (6,010,480).

Weber reference discloses a medical balloon with a plurality of structures when in the contracted condition (see figure 4). The balloon has at least three structures and are T-shaped (see figure 3). The structures can overlap at least slightly when contracted (see figure 5). Each structure has a base made of a double layer of balloon and a wing extends in each direction from the base. However, Weber reference does not disclose the structures maintain the T-shaped wings in the contracted or folded condition.

Attention is drawn to Abele reference, which teaches a suitable balloon folding alternative where the fold can have a structure with a base and wings extending in opposite directions from the base (see figure 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to fold the balloon structures of Weber reference in the manner taught by Abele reference (i.e. T-shaped structures with oppositely extending wings) because it appears both folding methods would perform equally well and one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that a balloon can be folded in more than one way.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan Severson whose telephone number is (571)272-3142. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,303 Page 4

Art Unit: 3731

6. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Todd Manahan can be reached on (571) 272-4713. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/R. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 3731 /Todd E Manahan/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3731